

# Perfluorooctane Sulfonate, Its Salts and Its Precursors that Contain the C<sub>8</sub>F<sub>17</sub>SO<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>8</sub>F<sub>17</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> Moiety

#### Introduction

The Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA 1999) requires the federal Ministers of Health and the Environment to conduct screening assessments to determine, in an expeditious manner, whether a substance poses a risk to human health or the environment. On the basis of a screening assessment, the Ministers can propose to take no further action in respect of the substance, to add the substance to the Priority Substances List for a more in-depth assessment or to recommend that the substance be added to the List of Toxic Substances in Schedule 1 of the Act.

Screening assessments of risks to human health focus on conservative effect levels for critical endpoints and upper-bounding estimates of exposure, after consideration of all relevant identified information. Decisions based on the nature of the critical effects and margins between conservative effect levels and estimates of exposure take into account confidence in the completeness of the identified databases on both exposure and effects, within a screening context. Additional background information on screening health assessments conducted under this program is available at http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/exsd-dse.

A screening health assessment was undertaken on perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), its salts and its precursors containing the  $C_8F_{17}SO_2$  or  $C_8F_{17}SO_3$  moiety on the basis that some of these compounds were included in the Domestic Substances List pilot phase for screening based on their meeting the criteria for persistence and/or bioaccumulation and inherent toxicity to non-human organisms, pursuant to Section 73(1)(b) of CEPA 1999, and in response to a request to the Minister of the Environment to add these compounds to the Priority Substances List.

This screening assessment report on human health aspects and associated unpublished supporting working documentation were prepared by evaluators within the Existing Substances Division of Health Canada, and their content was reviewed at several meetings of senior



Divisional staff. The assessment report was subsequently externally reviewed for adequacy of data coverage and defensibility of the conclusions. The assessment reports on health and environmental aspects were approved by the joint Environment Canada/Health Canada CEPA Management Committee. The supporting working documentation is available upon request by email from ExSD@hc-sc.gc.ca. Information on the screening environmental assessment is available at http://www.ec.gc.ca/substances/ese.

Information identified as of September 2003 was considered for inclusion in this screening health assessment. The critical information and considerations upon which the assessment is based are summarized below.

### **Identity, Uses and Sources of Exposure**

PFOS, its salts and its precursors form part of a larger chemical class of fluorochemicals typically referred to as perfluorinated alkyl compounds (PFAs). Depending upon the intended use, the various precursors of PFOS are formed via derivatization of perfluoroctanesulfonylfluoride (POSF:  $C_8F_{17}SO_2F$ ) (OECD, 2002), yielding molecules with the general chemical formula of  $CF_3(CF_2)_7SO_2$ -R. The screening health assessment of PFOS, its salts and its precursors containing the  $C_8F_{17}SO_2$  or  $C_8F_{17}SO_3$  moiety covers some 50 substances, many of which are on the Domestic Substances List (see Appendix 1).

The results of a survey of Canadian industry conducted in 2000 under Section 71 of CEPA 1999 to determine the manufacture, import, export and uses of specific PFAs, their derivatives and polymers indicated that there was no known manufacture of PFAs, including PFOS, in Canada. Almost 600 000 kg of PFAs were imported into Canada between 1997 and 2000, PFOS representing only a very small proportion of this total (Environment Canada, 2001). PFOS and its precursors were imported into Canada as chemicals or in various products. As noted elsewhere (OECD, 2002), the principal applications for PFOS and its precursors are for water, oil, soil and grease repellents for use on surface and paper-based applications, such as rugs and carpets, fabric and upholstery and food packaging, as well as use in specialized chemical applications, such as fire-fighting foams, hydraulic fluids, carpet spot removers, mining and oil well surfactants and other specialized chemical formulations. Owing to these use patterns, the exposure of humans to such substances would likely result from contact with, and/or the use of, certain consumer products (3M, 1999a).

The screening health assessment on PFOS, its salts and its precursors containing the  $C_8F_{17}SO_2$  or  $C_8F_{17}SO_3$  moiety is based upon a comparison of the margin between the levels of PFOS in the blood and liver of laboratory animals<sup>1</sup> that are associated with the development of toxicological effects and the levels in the blood and liver of humans. The following

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Available data from identified epidemiological studies were considered inadequate for such analysis.

considerations were taken into account in developing the approach for the screening health assessment of PFOS, its salts and precursors listed herein:

- Chemical, environmental and metabolic processes can lead to the removal of the perfluorinated moiety, ultimately yielding PFOS (3M, 1999a,b).
- PFOS is persistent and is not further degraded or metabolically converted to other compounds (3M, 1999a,b).
- On the basis of CATABOL modelling (Mekenyan and Dimitrov, 2002), the substances listed in Appendix 1 of this assessment were considered to have the potential to biodegrade to PFOS.
- Since PFOS is likely the ultimate perfluorinated degradation or metabolic product of the group of substances listed in Appendix 1, the level of this compound in human tissue provides a useful indicator of exposure to this group of substances from all potential sources.
- Biomonitoring in humans (and animal species) has focused on PFOS, which has been detected in the blood of non-occupationally exposed humans in North America and Europe.
- The toxicity profile of those PFOS precursors examined here (see table below) appears to be generally similar to that of PFOS itself. Available data indicate that effects associated with the PFOS precursors occur at exposures that are similar to or slightly higher than those for PFOS.

Toxicological data relevant to this screening health assessment report were identified for the following substances on the Domestic Substances List (see following table), and these data were used as the basis for assessing the PFOS precursors listed in Appendix 1:

Substance	Designation	CAS No.
1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	PFOS	2795-39-3 (potassium salt)
heptadecafluoro-		29081-56-9 (ammonium
(perfluorooctane sulfonate)		salt)
		70225-14-8 (diethanolamine
		salt)
1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N-EtFOSE	1691-99-2
heptadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-		
(N-ethylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol)		
1-Octanesulfonamide, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N-MeFOSE	24448-09-7
heptadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-methyl-		
(N-methylperfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanol)		
1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N-EtFOSA	4151-50-2
heptadecafluoro-		
(N-ethyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide)		
1-Octanesulfonamide, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N-MeFOSA	31506-32-8
heptadecafluoro-N-methyl-		
(N-methyl perfluorooctane sulfonamide)		
Glycine, N-ethyl-N-[(heptadecafluorooctyl)-sulfonyl]-, potassium	PFOSAA	2991-51-7
salt		
(potassium-N-ethyl-N((heptadecafluorooctyl)-sulfonyl)-glycinate)		

Substance	Designation	CAS No.
Ethanaminium, N,N,N-trimethyl-2-[(2-methyl-1-oxo-2-		92265-81-1
propenyl)oxy]-, chloride, polymer with 2-ethoxyethyl 2-propenoate,		
2-[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-		
propenoate and oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate		

## **Exposure Assessment and Hazard Characterization**

Although the identified data for some of these substances (see table above) were variable and limited, the available information indicates that toxicological effects of these precursors of PFOS are similar to those of PFOS itself (Table 1). Moreover, based upon the data identified, health-related effects associated with exposure to these substances would appear to be somewhat less severe and/or are observed at higher exposures (doses) than those associated with exposure to PFOS itself.

Studies considered critical to the screening health assessment of PFOS, its salts and its precursors (i.e., those with lowest effect levels) are long-term repeated-dose investigations conducted with rodents and primates. In rats receiving PFOS in the diet for 2 years, histopathological effects in the liver were observed in males and females at intakes as low as 0.06–0.23 mg/kg-bw per day and 0.07–0.21 mg/kg-bw per day, respectively (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2002a). The mean levels of PFOS in the serum and liver of males and females with these intakes were 7.6 and 20.2 µg/ml and 26.4 and 55.1 µg/g, respectively, after 2 years of exposure (3M Environmental Laboratory, 2001). Evidence of increased thymic atrophy (in females) and reduced serum high-density lipoprotein, cholesterol, bilirubin and triiodothyronine levels (in males) were observed in monkeys administered 0.03 mg PFOS/kg-bw per day for 26 weeks (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2002b).<sup>2</sup> The mean levels of PFOS in the serum of the males and females after 26 weeks on study were 15.8 and 13.2 ug/ml, respectively (3M Environmental Laboratory, 2000). The mean levels of PFOS in the liver of males and females after 27 weeks on study were 17.3 and 22.2 µg/g, respectively.

Based upon data from chronic exposure studies, there is evidence for the carcinogenicity of PFOS (increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma in males and females) and N-EtFOSE (increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma in females; thyroid follicular cell adenoma in males) in rats. In both of these studies, statistically significant increases in tumour incidence were observed only at the highest doses tested — that is, at doses that were above those associated with the development of non-neoplastic effects in these animals. PFOS and its related substances evaluated here are not genotoxic based upon the results of a wide range of identified in vitro and in vivo assays.

Identified data included investigations on health effects in workers occupationally exposed to PFOS. Although a significantly elevated risk of bladder cancer has been observed for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also reported in Seacat et al. (2002).

one group of workers exposed to PFOS, the identified epidemiological studies of workers occupationally exposed to PFOS are considered inadequate to assess the potential of this substance (and its precursors) to induce cancer in humans. Workers were exposed to other substances, and the observed number of cause-specific and overall deaths was relatively small. Moreover, the database is inadequate as a basis to assess aspects of weight of evidence of causality, such as consistency.

In other surveys of occupationally exposed workers in which potential relationships between exposure to PFOS (assessed by monitoring serum PFOS levels in workers, which ranged as high as  $10~\mu g/ml$ ) and effects upon clinical chemistries and haematological and hormonal parameters were assessed, consistent associations have not been observed. The sensitivity of these investigations is limited by low participation rates and employee turnover during study periods at certain facilities.

In a recent study providing preliminary analytical data on the occurrence and distribution of selected organic perfluorinated compounds in the blood of 56 volunteer non-occupationally exposed adult Canadians, PFOS was detected in 100% of the samples (Kubwabo et al., 2002). Measured concentrations of PFOS in the serum ranged from 0.0037 to 0.065  $\mu$ g/ml; the overall mean and 95th-percentile concentrations were 0.0288 and 0.0631  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively. These levels are similar to those measured in other contemporary biomonitoring studies conducted in the United States and Europe (OECD, 2002), with the overall range of serum PFOS levels not appearing to have increased markedly over the past few years.

In one study of 599 children (aged 2–12 years) in the United States conducted between 1994 and 1995, the geometric mean concentration of PFOS in the serum was 37.5 ppb (i.e., 0.0375  $\mu$ g/ml); the 95th percentile was 97 ppb (0.097  $\mu$ g/ml), with a small number of samples (<20) exceeding this value. Individual values ranging widely from 7 to 515 ppb (i.e., 0.0067–0.515  $\mu$ g/ml) (3M Medical Department, 2002). Although an analysis of historical blood samples for PFOS conducted in the late 1990s revealed a significant increase in PFOS levels about 20 years after these substances were first produced commercially (i.e., the late 1940s), there appears to have been no substantial increasing trend in levels between the early 1970s and the late 1990s, although data are limited (3M, 1999a).

In view of the physical/chemical properties of PFOS, the substance is not expected to accumulate in breast milk. Although biomonitoring data in very young children have not been identified, PFOS levels in the sera of fetuses and very young offspring do not exceed those of exposed dams in experimental studies (Argus Research Laboratories, 1999e,f).

In analyses of liver samples collected from 30 cadavers in the United States, levels of PFOS ranged from <0.0045 (limit of quantitation) to 0.057  $\mu$ g/g (Olsen et al., 2003). The mean and geometric mean concentrations were 0.0188 and 0.0152  $\mu$ g/g, respectively.

Confidence in the effects assessment for PFOS and N-EtFOSE is high, owing to the available database, which covers a wide range of toxicological endpoints. Although confidence in the effects assessment for the remaining PFOS-related compounds is low, due to the lack of identified data, this is mitigated to some degree by the consideration that these compounds are likely converted to PFOS in environmental and biological media. Confidence in the measure of the "internal dose" of PFOS (i.e., levels of PFOS in serum and liver) to assess exposure of the general population to this group of compounds is high. Although the size of the sampled population of individuals in Canada is relatively small, the mean measured concentration is similar to that reported elsewhere for samples collected from other non-occupationally exposed populations in the United States and Europe. The levels of serum PFOS do not appear to have increased markedly over the past 20 years (3M, 1999a). Although there may be somewhat less confidence in the available data on the levels of PFOS in human liver, owing to the small sample size in the single report, use of this exposure metric takes into account aspects related to both the toxicokinetics and metabolism of such substances.

# **Proposed Conclusion for Human Health**

The two laboratory studies considered critical for the margin of exposure analysis are the chronic toxicity study in rats, with a large number of animals per dose group exposed for nearly their lifetime, and the study in which small groups of monkeys (considered as better surrogates for humans) received PFOS for 26 weeks. The levels of PFOS in the serum and liver of these animals at the critical effect level are less than those associated with the critical effect in the  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  rats in the two-generation reproduction/developmental toxicity study.

Most often in the screening health assessment of Existing Substances under CEPA 1999, margins of exposure are based upon a comparison of the doses (intakes) administered to laboratory animals at which substance-induced effects were observed with the upper-bound estimate of human intake. While such estimates of intake for PFOS are included in the supporting working document, they are less reliable as a basis for development of margins than those based on serum levels. In this case, therefore, comparisons have been based upon information on the levels of PFOS in the serum and liver from animals administered PFOS and data from human biomonitoring studies. This obviates the need to take into account the significant uncertainty associated with a determination of the upper-bound estimate of human intake of PFOS, owing to the limited available data on levels of PFOS and precursors in air, foodstuffs, drinking water and breast milk and resulting from contact with household materials treated with such perfluorinated substances. Moreover, the levels of PFOS in human tissues provide a useful indicator of the combined exposure from all sources.

Comparisons of the levels of PFOS in the serum and liver of animals at the critical effect level with levels in serum and/or liver from human adult and children biomonitoring studies are presented in the following table:

Health Canada March 5, 2004

Critical study and effect	PFOS dose metric at critical effect	Metric(s) of human exposure to PFOS	Margin of exposure (critical effect/human exposure)
Microscopic changes in the liver of rats (m + f) receiving PFOS in the diet	Serum PFOS level: 13.9 μg/ml <sup>2</sup>	Mean serum PFOS level in adults in Canada <sup>3</sup> : 0.028 μg/ml	496
for 2 years <sup>1</sup>		95th percentile of human serum PFOS level in adults in Canada <sup>3</sup> : 0.0631 µg/ml	220
		Mean serum PFOS level in children in the United States <sup>4</sup> : 0.0375 µg/ml	371
		95th percentile of serum PFOS level in children in the United States <sup>4</sup> : 0.097 μg/ml	143
	Liver PFOS level: 40.8 μg/g <sup>5</sup>	Mean <sup>6</sup> liver PFOS level: 0.0188 μg/g	2170 <sup>7</sup>
Thymic atrophy (f), reduced serum high- density lipoprotein (m),	Serum PFOS level: 14.5 μg/ml <sup>8</sup>	Mean serum PFOS level in adults in Canada <sup>3</sup> : 0.028 μg/ml	518
cholesterol (m), triiodothyronine (m) and total bilirubin (m) in monkeys administered		95th percentile of human serum PFOS level in adults in Canada <sup>3</sup> : 0.0631 µg/ml	230
PFOS for 26 weeks <sup>1</sup>		Mean serum PFOS level in children in the United States <sup>4</sup> : 0.0375 μg/ml	387
		95th percentile of serum PFOS level in children in the United States <sup>4</sup> : 0.097 µg/ml	149
	Liver PFOS level: 19.8 µg/g <sup>9</sup>	Mean <sup>10</sup> liver PFOS level: 0.0188 μg/g	1053 <sup>11</sup>

- Covance Laboratories, Inc. (2002a).
- Average of mean levels in males (7.6  $\mu$ g/ml) and females (20.2  $\mu$ g/ml).
- <sup>3</sup> Kubwabo et al. (2002).
- <sup>4</sup> 3M Medical Department (2002).
- Average of mean levels in males 26.4 ( $\mu$ g/g) and females (55.1  $\mu$ g/g).
- <sup>6</sup> Mean level of PFOS in livers from 30 cadavers (Olsen et al., 2003).
- Published data on 95th percentile not available. Margin of exposure based upon highest level of PFOS in human liver from this study  $(0.057 \mu g/g)$  is 716.
- Average of mean levels in males (15.8  $\mu$ g/ml) and females (13.2  $\mu$ g/ml) (week 26).
- Average of mean levels in males 17.3 ( $\mu$ g/g) and females (22.2  $\mu$ g/g) (week 27).
- Mean level of PFOS in livers from 30 cadavers (Olsen et al., 2003).
- Published data on 95th percentile not available. Margin of exposure based upon highest level of PFOS in human liver from this study  $(0.057 \mu g/g)$  is 347.

These margins are considered adequate to address elements of uncertainty, including

intraspecies variation, interspecies variation and biological adversity or severity of the effects considered critical here. These margins will also be protective for the increased incidence of tumours observed in the chronic study of PFOS in rats, since the tumours were observed only at doses of PFOS that were higher than those that induced non-neoplastic effects and since the weight of evidence indicates that PFOS (and its precursors) are not genotoxic. While the margins for blood levels in children are somewhat less (approximately 145 for the 95th-percentile values), more appropriate margins for comparison with the effect level from long-term studies are those for adults (approximately 225 for the 95th-percentile values), since they are exposed for a greater portion of their life span. In addition, the critical lowest-observed-effect levels selected for development of these margins of exposure are very conservative, being about an order of magnitude less than values in other studies (i.e., for effects observed in reproductive studies with rats). The margins are also based on more relevant metrics of exposure to PFOS than dose in experimental studies and deterministic estimates of daily intake in children and adults and, as a result, account for a significant portion of the uncertainties associated with interspecies and intraspecies differences in pharmacokinetics (usually accounted for by 4-fold and 3.2-fold default uncertainty factors, respectively). The higher margins for values in liver, although based on limited data, take into account even a greater proportion of uncertainty in toxicokinetics. The margins also take into account limitations of the database for human exposure. Use of the 95th percentiles for the serum levels is also more conservative than deterministic estimates of exposure, which are based on mean intakes of environmental media.

Based upon these margins of exposure, it is proposed that PFOS, its salts and its precursors not be considered "toxic" as defined in Paragraph 64(c) of CEPA 1999.

## Proposed Recommendations to the Ministers of Environment and Health

On the basis of environmental considerations, it is proposed that PFOS, its salts and its precursors, as a group, be considered "toxic" as defined in Section 64 of CEPA 1999 (see http://www.ec.gc.ca/substances/ese).

Table 1: Summary of health effects information for PFOS and related compounds

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Acute toxicity: oral	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = 251 mg/kg-bw  (International Research and Development Corporation, 1978a)  [Additional studies: Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1987a; Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc., 1994a; Corning Hazleton, Inc., 1997a]	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = 1467 mg/kg-bw (International Research and Development Corporation, 1978b)	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = >500 and <5000 mg/kg-bw  (Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981b)  [Additional study: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1987]	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = >1000 and <5000 mg/kg-bw (Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1979)	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = 350 mg/kg-bw  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1985a)  [Additional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981c; Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1985b]	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = >0.5 and <5 ml/kg-bw  (Biosearch, Inc., 1978c)  [Additional studies: Biosearch, Inc., 1978a; Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1988a]	Oral LD <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LD <sub>50</sub> = >5 g/kg-bw (Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc., 1991a)
Acute toxicity: dermal			1907			Dermal LD <sub>50</sub> rabbit (m/f) 24-hour covered LD <sub>50</sub> = >2000 mg/kg-bw (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1988b)	
Acute toxicity: inhalation	Inhalation LC <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LC <sub>50</sub> = 5200 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Bio/Dynamics, Inc., 1979a)	Inhalation LC <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LC <sub>50</sub> = >6.5 g/m <sup>3</sup> (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1981)				Inhalation LC <sub>50</sub> rat (m/f) LC <sub>50</sub> = >22 g/m <sup>3</sup> and <66 g/m <sup>3</sup> (Bio/Dynamics, Inc., 1979b)	

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Irritation: ocular	Severe irritation: rabbit 0.1 ml ocular application, washout after 5 or 30 seconds  (Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981a)  [Additional studies: mild to moderate irritation: Warf Institute, Inc., 1974, 1975; Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1987b; Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc., 1994b; Corning Hazleton, Inc.,		Minimal irritation: rabbit (f) 0.1 g ocular application (Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1984)	No irritation: rabbit 0.1 g ocular application (Biosearch, Inc., 1978b)	Minimal irritation: rabbit (f) 0.09 g ocular application (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1985c)  [Additional study: Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1985d]	Mild irritation: rabbit 0.1 ml ocular application (unwashed)  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1988c)  [Additional study: Biosearch, Inc., 1978d]	Moderate irritation: rabbit 0.1 ml ocular application (unwashed) (Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc., 1991b)
Short-term repeated-dose toxicity	Oral gavage LOAEL rat (m/f), 28 days LOAEL = 3 mg/kg-bw per day  hepatocellular hypertrophy (m/f); increased relative liver weight (m/f); increased relative kidney weight (f); reduced body weight (f) (NOTOX, 1999)  [Additional study: Austin et al., 2003]			Dietary LOEL rat (m/f), at least 4 weeks LOEL (m/f) = 2.4-4.1 mg/kg-bw per day  increased relative liver weight (f), hepatocellular hypertrophy (m) (investigators give LOAEL of 35–63 mg/kg-bw per day, ignoring liver effects at lower doses)  (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2000a)			

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Subchronic	Oral gavage LOEL rhesus	Oral diet LOEL rat (m/f),		Oral diet LOEL rat			
toxicity	monkey (m/f), 90 days	90 days		(m/f), 13 weeks			
	LOEL = 0.5  mg/kg-bw	LOEL(m) = 2 mg/kg-bw		LOEL(m) = 2			
	per day	per day		mg/kg-bw per day			
	clinical signs of toxicity	slight hepatocellular		increased relative			
	and increased leukocytes	vacuolization; decreased		brain, kidney, liver			
		hemoglobin and hematocrit		and testis weights;			
	(International Research and			histopathological			
	Development Corporation,	(International Research and		changes in the liver,			
	1978e)	Development Corporation,		reductions in serum			
	, , ,	1978d)		cholesterol and			
	[Additional study:			triglycerides			
	International Research and	[Additional study:					
	Development Corporation,	International Research and		(Covance			
	1978c]	Development Corporation,		Laboratories, Inc.,			
		1979]		1999d)			

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Carcinogenicity/ chronic	Oral diet LOAEL rat (m/f), 104 weeks LOAEL = 0.06–0.23 mg/kg-bw per day increased incidence of nonneoplastic changes in the liver (statistically significant increased incidence of hepatocellular adenoma in males and females at intakes of 0.64–2.21 mg/kg-bw per day) (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2002a)  Oral LOEL cynomolgus monkey (m/f), 26 weeks LOEL (m/f) = 0.03 mg/kg-bw per day  m: reduced high-density lipoprotein and triiodothyronine levels, thymic atrophy f: thymic atrophy (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2002b)	Oral diet LOEL rat (m/f) 104-week cancer bioassay with N-EtFOSE narrow range (98.1%) LOEL (m) = 0.86-2.618 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL (f) = 4.213-10.166 mg/kg-bw per day  m/f: increased incidence of histopathological effects in liver f: significant (p < 0.05) reduced serum triglycerides after 104 weeks (statistically significant increased incidences of thyroid follicular adenoma in males at intakes of 3.1- 8.72 mg/kg-bw per day and of hepatocellular adenoma in females at intakes of 4.213- 10.166 mg/kg-bw per day)  (Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2001)  [Additional study: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1983]					
Genotoxicity and related endpoints: in vivo	Negative: mouse (m/f) bone marrow micronucleus 950 mg/kg-bw; acute oral gavage (Corning Hazleton, Inc., 1996b)	Negative: mouse (m/f) bone marrow micronucleus 2200 mg/kg-bw; acute oral gavage (Corning Hazleton, Inc., 1996a) [Additional studies: Corning Hazleton, Inc., 1993; Hazleton Washington, Inc., 1993a]	Negative: mouse (m/f) bone marrow micronucleus, 4000 mg/kg-bw, acute oral gavage (Corning Hazleton, Inc., 1996c)	Negative: rat (m/f) bone marrow micronucleus, 5000 mg/kg-bw, acute oral gavage, and rat hepatic unscheduled DNA synthesis in vivo/in vitro (Hazleton Washington, Inc., 1993b,c)			
Genotoxicity and related endpoints: <i>in vitro</i>	Negative: with/without metabolic activation: Ames Salmonella/E. coli	Negative (-S9), questionable (+S9): mouse lymphoma L5178Y; in vitro	Negative: Ames Salmonella mutagenicity and	Negative: with/without metabolic	Negative: with/without metabolic activation: Ames Salmonella mutagenicity	Negative: with/without metabolic	

PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration <i>in vitro</i> assays  (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978, 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)	mutation -S9/+S9  (NOTOX, 1998)  [Additional <b>negative</b> <i>in vitro</i> study: Covance Laboratories, Inc., 2000b]	Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange in vitro assays (U.S. EPA, 1989)	activation: Ames Salmonella mutagenicity, mouse L5178Y lymphoma mutation and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration in vitro assays  (NOTOX,	and yeast recombination in vitro assays (SRI International, 1985)	activation: Ames Salmonella mutagenicity and yeast recombination in vitro assays (SRI International, 1982)	
LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day  maternal: decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight; clinical effects fetal: incomplete skull closure twice that of controls  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983b)  [Additional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1980;	LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–17 of gestation LOEL maternal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day  maternal: reduced body weight gain fetal: reduced live fetal body weight and increased skeletal alterations, ossification alterations  (Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., 1998)  [Additional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981d; Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983a, 1984]		177744,0,0)			
	mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration <i>in vitro</i> assays  (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978, 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day <i>maternal</i> : decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight; clinical effects fetal: incomplete skull closure twice that of controls  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983b)	mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration <i>in vitro</i> assays  (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978, 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day <i>maternal</i> : decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight; clinical effects fetal: incomplete skull closure twice that of controls  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983b)  (Hazleton Laboratories Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1980; Argus Research  America, Inc., 1980; Argus Research	mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration <i>in vitro</i> assays  (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978, 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day  LOEL fetal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day  Maternal: decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight; clinical effects fetal: incomplete skull closure twice that of controls  (Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., 1980; Argus Research  Mutation -S9/+S9  (NOTOX, 1998)  Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange <i>in vitro</i> assays  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  (U.S. EPA, 1989)	mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration in vitro assays  (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978; 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day  LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day  maternal: decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight; clinical effects (effects effects) fetal: incomplete skull closure twice that of controls  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983b)  (Hadditional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1980; Argus Research  Mutation -S9/+S9  Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange in vitro assays  (NOTOX, 1998)  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange in vitro assays  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–17 of gestation  LOEL maternal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day  LOEL fetal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day  LOEL fetal = 10 mg/kg-bw per day  (Argus Research  Laboratories, Inc., 1983b)  (Hadditional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981d; Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., 1980, Argus Research  Laboratories, Inc., 1981d; Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., 1983a, 1984]	mutagenicity, S. cerevisiae mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration in vitro assays (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978; 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 1 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 10 mg/kg-bw per d	mutagenicity, <i>S. cerevisiae</i> mitotic recombinogenicity, rat hepatocyte unscheduled DNA synthesis and human lymphocyte chromosomal aberration in vitro assays (Litton Bionetics, Inc., 1978; SRI International, 1978, 1980, 1981; Covance Laboratories, Inc., 1999a,b,c)  LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rat (f) oral gavage, days 6–15 of gestation LOEL maternal = 1 mg/kg-bv per day  maternal: decreased weight gain; decreased body weight minus gravid uterine weight, clinical effects fetal: incomplete skull closure wice that of controls  (Additional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1989s)  (Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., 1983b)  [Additional studies: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1989s)  (Chinese hamster ovary sister chromatid exchange in vitro assays  (I.S. EPA, 1989)  (U.S. EPA, 1989)  (I.S. EPA, 1989)  (

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Reproductive/ developmental toxicity, rat two- generation	LOEL $F_0/LOEL$ $F_1/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_1/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_1/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_1/LOEL$ $F_2/LOEL$ $F_2/$	LOEL F <sub>0</sub> /LOEL F <sub>1</sub> /LOEL F <sub>2</sub> : rat (m/f) oral gavage, F <sub>0</sub> males: from 28 days before to end of mating, females: from 28 days before through to the 21st day of lactation (DL 21), F <sub>1</sub> males from 22 days after birth to end of mating (started 90 days after birth), F <sub>1</sub> females: from 22 days after birth through to DL 21 (for F <sub>2</sub> )  LOEL F <sub>0</sub> (m/f) = 5 mg/kg- bw per day LOEL F <sub>1</sub> (m/f) = 1 mg/kg- bw per day LOEL F <sub>2</sub> (m/f) = 5 mg/kg- bw per day  LOEL F <sub>2</sub> (m/f) = 5 mg/kg- bw per day  LOEL F <sub>2</sub> (m/f) = 5 mg/kg- bw per day  F <sub>0</sub> reduced body weight gains (m/f); increased relative left testis weight; reduced duration of gestation, F <sub>1</sub> reduced body weight gains (m/f), F <sub>2</sub> reduced viability and lactation indices; reduced mean litter weight  (Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., 1999b)					

Endpoint	PFOS	N-EtFOSE	N-EtFOSA	N-MeFOSE	N-MeFOSA	PFOSAA	Ethanaminium
Reproductive/ developmental toxicity, rabbit	LOEL maternal/ LOEL fetal rabbit (f) oral gavage, days 7–20 of gestation LOEL maternal = 1.0 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = 2.5 mg/kg- bw per day	LOEL maternal/LOEL fetal rabbit (f) oral gavage, days 7–20 of gestation LOEL maternal = 2.5 mg/kg-bw per day LOEL fetal = >3.75 mg/kg- bw per day	LOEL offspring rabbit (f) oral gavage; days 19– 28 of gestation LOEL offspring = 0.3 mg/kg-bw per day				
	maternal: reduced body weight gain over entire exposure period fetal: decreased ossification of sternal centres per fetus per litter; reduced body weight  (Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., 1999d)	maternal: reduced body weight gain; increased late resorptions and abortions (Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., 1999c) [Additional study: Riker Laboratories, Inc., 1981e]	increased neonatal mortality throughout pre- weaning period (Stump et al., 1997)				

 $LOEL = lowest-observed-effect level; LOAEL = lowest-observed-adverse-effect level; LC_{50} = median lethal concentration; LD_{50} = median lethal dose; m = male; f = female; bw = body weight.$ 

#### References

3M. 1999a. Perfluorooctane sulfonate: Current summary of human sera, health and toxicity data. 3M Company, January 21, 1999.

3M. 1999b. The science of organic fluorochemistry. 3M Company, February 5, 1999.

3M Environmental Laboratory. 2000. Determination of the presence and concentration of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in liver and serum samples. # FACT TOX-030.

3M Environmental Laboratory. 2001. Determination of the presence and concentration of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) in liver and serum specimens of Crl:CD<sup>®</sup>(SD) IGS BR rats exposed to perfluorooctane sulfonic acid potassium salt (PFOS T6295). # FACT TOX-002.

3M Medical Department. 2002. Identification of fluorochemicals in human sera. III. Pediatric participants in a group A streptococci clinical trial investigation. Medical Department, 3M Company. # EPI-0011.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1998. Oral (gavage) developmental toxicity study of N-EtFOSE in rats. # 418-011.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999a. Combined oral (gavage) fertility, developmental and perinatal/postnatal reproduction toxicity study of PFOS in rats. # 418-008.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999b. Combined oral (gavage) fertility, developmental and perinatal/postnatal reproduction toxicity study of N-EtFOSE in rats. # 418-009.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999c. Oral (stomach tube) developmental toxicity study of N-EtFOSE in rabbits. # 418-010.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999d. Final report: Oral (stomach tube) developmental toxicity study of PFOS in rabbits. # 418-012.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999e. Oral (gavage) pharmacokinetic study of PFOS in rats. # 418-013.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 1999f. Oral (gavage) pharmacokinetic study of PFOS in rats. # 418-015.

Argus Research Laboratories, Inc. 2000. Oral (gavage) cross-fostering study of PFOS in rats. # 418-014.

Austin, M.E., Kasturi, B.S., Barber, M., Kannan, K., MohanKumar, P.S. and MohanKumar, S.M.J. 2003. Neuoroendocrine effects of perfluorooctane sulfonate in rats. Environ. Health Perspect. 111: 1485–1489.

Bio/Dynamics, Inc. 1979a. An acute inhalation toxicity study of T-2306 CoC in the rat. # 78-7185.

Bio/Dynamics, Inc. 1979b. An acute inhalation toxicity study of T-2307 CoC in the rat. # 78-7186.

Biosearch, Inc. 1978a. Acute oral toxicity — rats. # 77-1108A.

Biosearch, Inc. 1978b. Primary eye irritation study — rabbits. # 78-1161A.

Biosearch, Inc. 1978c. Acute oral toxicity — rats. # 77-1127A.

Biosearch, Inc. 1978d. Primary eye irritation study — rabbits. # 77-1127A.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1993. Mutagenicity test on T-5710 in an *in vivo* rat micronucleus assay. # 15516-0-454.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1996a. Mutagenicity test on T-6292 in an *in vivo* mouse micronucleus test. # 17384-0-455.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1996b. Mutagenicity test on T-6295 in an *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay. # 17403-0-455.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1996c. Mutagenicity test on T-6294 in an *in vivo* mouse micronucleus assay. # 17385-0-455.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1997a. Acute oral toxicity study of T-6684 in rats. # CHW61101149.

Corning Hazleton, Inc. 1997b. Final report: Primary eye irritation/corrosion study of T-6684 in rabbits (OECD Guidelines). # 61101151.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 1999a. *Salmonella–Escherichia coli*/mammalian-microsome reverse mutation assay with PFOS. # 20784-0-409.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 1999b. Final report: Unscheduled DNA synthesis in rat liver primary cell cultures with PFOS. # 20784-0-447.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 1999c. Chromosomal aberrations in human whole blood lymphocytes with PFOS. # 20784-0-449.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 1999d. 13-week dietary toxicity study with T-6314 in rats. # 6329-225.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 2000a. 4-week range-finding dietary toxicity study with N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamido ethanol (N-MeFOSE, T-6314) in rats. # 6329-224.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 2000b. Final report. L5178Y TK<sup>+</sup> mouse lymphoma forward mutation assay with a confirmatory assay with N-EtFOSE, T-6316. # 20785-0-431 ICH.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 2001. Final report of the 104 week dietary carcinogenicity study with narrow range (98.1%) N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamido-ethanol (N-EtFOSE) in rats. # 6329-212.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 2002a. Final report: 104-week dietary chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity study with perfluorooctane sulfonic acid potassium salt (PFOS; T-6295) in rats. # 6329-183.

Covance Laboratories, Inc. 2002b. 26-week capsule toxicity study with perfluorooctane sulfonic acid potassium salt (PFOS T-6295) in cynomolgus monkeys. # 6329-223.

Environment Canada. 2001. Primary report on PFAs from Section 71 survey. Gatineau, Quebec.

Environment Canada. 2003. Technical working document for the environmental screening assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and its precursors. Existing Substances Branch, Gatineau, Quebec.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1981. Corrected: Final report on acute inhalation toxicity study in rats. # 154-157.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1983a. Pilot rat teratology study T-3352. Final report. # 154-159.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1983b. Rat teratology study T-3351. # 154-160.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1984. Rat teratology study T-3352. # 154-161.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1985a. Final report: Acute oral toxicity: method, summary, pathology. # 50202473, sample T-3727.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1985b. Final report: Acute oral toxicity: method, summary, pathology. # 50503499, sample T-3752.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1985c. Final report, primary eye irritation — method, summary. # 50202473, sample T-3727.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1985d. Final report primary eye irritation — method, summary. # 50503501, sample T-3752.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1987a. Acute oral toxicity study in rats — method, summary, pathology, raw data appendix. # 70100353, sample T-4016.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1987b. Primary eye irritation study in rabbits — method, summary, raw data appendix. # 70100355, sample T-4016.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1988a. Acute oral toxicity study in rats (OECD Guidelines). # 70905392.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1988b. Acute dermal toxicity study in rabbits (OECD Guidelines). # 70905393.

Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. 1988c. Primary eye irritation/corrosion study in rabbits (OECD Guidelines). # 70905395.

Hazleton Washington, Inc. 1993a. Genotoxicity test on T-5710 in the *in-vivo/in-vitro* unscheduled DNA synthesis and cell proliferation in rat liver cells. # 15516-0-494.

Hazleton Washington, Inc. 1993b. Mutagenicity test on T-5711 in an *in vivo* rat micronucleus assay. # 15515-0-454.

Hazleton Washington, Inc. 1993c. Genotoxicity test on T-5711.1 (N-MeFOSE) in the *in-vivo/in-vitro* unscheduled DNA synthesis and cell proliferation in rat liver cells. # 15515-0-494.

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 1991a. Acute oral toxicity study of T-5298 in rats (OECD Guidelines). # 01104196.

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 1991b. Primary eye irritation/corrosion study of T-5298 in rabbits (OECD Guidelines). # 01104198.

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 1994a. Acute oral toxicity study of PFOS T-5898 in rats. # 40200468.

Hazleton Wisconsin, Inc. 1994b. Final report: Primary eye irritation/corrosion study of PFOS (T-5898) in rabbits (OECD Guidelines). # 40200470.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1978a. Fluorochemical FC-95, acute oral toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>) study in rats. # 137-083.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1978b. FM-3422: Acute oral toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>) study in rats. # 137-084.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1978c. Fluorochemical FC-95, ninety day subacute rat toxicity study. # 137-085.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1978d. Ninety day subacute rat toxicity study, FM-3422. # 137-086.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1978e. Fluorochemical FC-95, ninety day subacute rhesus monkey toxicity study. # 137-092.

International Research and Development Corporation. 1979. Ninety day subacute study in rhesus monkeys. # 137-088.

Kubwabo, C., Vais, N. and Benoit, F. 2002. A pilot study on the determination of the level of perfluorooctanesulfonate and other organic fluorochemicals in human blood. Chemistry Research Division, Safe Environments Programme, Health Canada (unpublished).

Litton Bionetics, Inc. 1978. Mutagenicity evaluation of T-2014 CoC in the Ames *Salmonella*/microsome plate test. # 20838.

Mekenyan, O. and Dimitrov, S. 2002. PFOS metabolic pathways and metabolic distributions: Generated by catabolic simulator (2001–2002). Results compiled and edited by P. Robinson, Environment Canada, June 2002 [cited in Environment Canada, 2003].

NOTOX. 1994a. Evaluation of the mutagenic activity of T-5874 in the Ames *Salmonella*/microsome test (with independent repeat). # 115932.

NOTOX. 1994b. Evaluation of the mutagenic activity of T-5874 in an *in vitro* mammalian cell gene mutation test with L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells (with independent repeat). # 115921.

NOTOX. 1994c. Evaluation of the ability of T-5874 to induce chromosome aberrations in cultured peripheral human lymphocytes (with independent repeat). # 115919.

NOTOX. 1998. Evaluation of the mutagenic activity of T-6906 in an *in vitro* mammalian cell gene mutation test with L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells (with independent repeat). # 223458.

NOTOX. 1999. Exploratory 28-day oral toxicity study with telomer alcohol, telomer acrylate, [redacted confidential business information], PFHS and PFOS (positive control) by daily gavage in the rat followed by a 14/28-day recovery period. # 242933.

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2002. Draft assessment of perfluorooctane sulfonate and its salts. Complete assessment. September 16, 2002.

Olsen, G.W., Hansen, K.J., Stevenson, L.A., Burris, J.M. and Mandel, J.H. 2003. Human donor liver and serum concentrations of perfluoroctanesulfonate and other perfluorochemicals. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37: 888–891.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1979. Acute oral toxicity screen with T2574CoC in albino rats. # 097AR0037.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1980. Developmental studies in female rats exposed to FC-95. # 0680TR008.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1981a. Acute ocular irritation test with T-2997CoC in albino rabbits. # 0882EB0009.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1981b. Acute oral toxicity screen with T-3066CoC in albino rats. # 0981AR0146.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1981c. Acute oral toxicity screen with T-3065CoC in albino rats. # 0981AR0145.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1981d. Oral teratology study of FM-3422 in rats. # 0680TR0010.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1981e. Oral teratology study of T-2999CoC in rabbits. # 0681TB0212.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1983. Two year oral (diet) carcinogenicity study of fluorochemical FM-3924 in rats. # 0281CR0012.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1984. Acute ocular irritation test with T-3608 in albino rabbits. # 0984EB0367.

Riker Laboratories, Inc. 1987. Acute oral toxicity screen with T-3067CoC in albino rats. # 0981AR0147.

Seacat, A.M., Thomford, P.J., Hansen, K.J., Olsen, G.W., Case, M.T. and Butenhoff, J.L. 2002. Subchronic toxicity studies on perfluorooctanesulfonate potassium salt in cynomolgus monkeys. Toxicol. Sci. 68: 249–264.

SRI International. 1978. *In vitro* microbiological mutagenicity assays of 3M Company compounds T-2247 CoC and T-2248 CoC. # LSC-4442-016.

SRI International. 1980. *In vitro* microbiological mutagenicity assays of 3M Company's

compound T-2816CoC. # LSC-8958.

SRI International. 1981. *In vitro* microbiological mutagenicity assays of 3M Company's compound T-2997CoC. # LSC-8958

SRI International. 1982. *In vitro* microbiological mutagenicity assays of 3M Company's compound T-3209CoC. # LSC-3145.

SRI International. 1985. *In vitro* microbiological mutagenicity assays of 3M Company's compound T-3727 and T-3752. # LSC-3145.

Stump, D.G., Nemec, M.D., Holsom, J.F., Piccirillo, V.J. and Mare, J.T. 1997. Study of effects of sulfuramid on pre- and postnatal development, maturation and fertility in the rabbit. Toxicologist 36: 357.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1989. Pesticides fact sheet. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. OPTS # 205, 540/FS-89-060.

Warf Institute, Inc. 1974. Primary skin and primary eye irritation assessment of FC-95. #4102871.

Warf Institute, Inc. 1975. Dermal and ocular irritation of PFOS (T-1166) in rabbits. # 5011023.

# **APPENDIX 1**

# PFOS AND RELATED SUBSTANCES

CAS No.	Chemical name	Molecular formula
N/A	1-Octanesulfonate, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	C <sub>8</sub> F <sub>17</sub> SO <sub>3</sub>
	heptadecafluoro-	
1691-99-2	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	$C_{12}H_{10}F_{17}NO_3S$
	heptadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-	
2250-98-8	1-Octanesulfonamide, N,N',N"-[phosphinylidynetris(oxy-2,1-	$C_{36}H_{27}F_{51}N_3O_{10}PS_3$
	ethanediyl)]tris[N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	
	heptadecafluoro-	
2795-39-3	1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	$C_8HF_{17}O_3S\cdot K$
	heptadecafluoro-, potassium salt	
2991-51-7	Glycine, N-ethyl-N-[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]-, potassium	$C_{12}H_8F_{17}NO_4S\cdot K$
4151 50 0	salt	C HE NO C
4151-50-2	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	$C_{10}H_6F_{17}NO_2S$
24448-09-7	heptadecafluoro-	C HE NOS
24448-09-7	1-Octanesulfonamide, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-N-methyl-	$C_{11}H_8F_{17}NO_3S$
29081-56-9	1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S·H <sub>3</sub> N
27001-30-7	heptadecafluoro-, ammonium salt	C8111 [7/O3O 1131V
29117-08-6	Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), α-[2-	$(C_2H_4O)_nC_{12}H_{10}F_{17}NO_3S$
	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl]-ω-hydroxy-	(-2 -4 - /11 - 12 - 10 - 1/1 - 0 3 -
30381-98-7	1-Octanesulfonamide, N,N-[phosphinicobis(oxy-2,1-	$C_{24}H_{19}F_{34}N_2O_8PS_2\cdot H_3N$
	ethanediyl)]bis[N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	
	heptadecafluoro-, ammonium salt	
31506-32-8	1-Octanesulfonamide, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	$C_9H_4F_{17}NO_2S$
	heptadecafluoro-N-methyl-	
25268-77-3	2-Propenoic acid, 2-[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl	$C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S$
423-82-5	ester 2-Propenoic acid, 2-	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> F <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S
423-02-3	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester	C1511121 1/11045
38006-74-5	1-Propanaminium, 3-[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]-	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>16</sub> F <sub>17</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S·Cl
20000 772	N,N,N-trimethyl-, chloride	01411161 1/11/2020 01
52550-45-5	Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), α-[2-	$(C_2H_4O)_nC_{13}H_{12}F_{17}NO_3S$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]propylamino]ethyl]-ω-hydroxy-	
56773-42-3	Ethanaminium, N,N,N-triethyl-, salt with	$C_8H_{20}N \cdot C_8F_{17}O_3S$
	1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptadecafluoro-1-octanesulfonic	
	acid (1:1)	
57589-85-2	Benzoic acid, 2,3,4,5-tetrachloro-6-[[[3-	$C_{22}H_6Cl_4F_{17}NO_6S\cdot K$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]oxy]phenyl]amino]carbonyl]-,	
(5020 00 2	monopotassium salt	C H E N O C CHI
67939-88-2	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]- 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptadecafluoro-,	$C_{13}H_{13}F_{17}N_2O_2S\cdot CIH$
	monohydrochloride	
67969-69-1	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	$C_{12}H_{11}F_{17}NO_6PS_2\cdot H_3N$
07707-07-1	heptadecafluoro-N-[2-(phosphonooxy)ethyl]-, diammonium salt	C1211111 1/11061 52 11311
68298-11-3	1-Propanaminium, 3-[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl](3-	$C_{18}H_{23}F_{17}N_2O_6S_2$
	sulfopropyl)amino]-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-N,N-dimethyl-,	-10 25 17 2 - 0 - 2
	hydroxide, inner salt	
68298-62-4	2-Propenoic acid, 2-	$(C_{17}H_{16}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_{16}H_{16}F_{15}NO_4S$
	[butyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester, telomer	$\cdot$ W <sub>99</sub> ·W <sub>99</sub> ) <sub>x</sub> ·C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> S
	with 2-[butyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, methyloxirane polymer with oxirane di-2-propenoate,	
	methyloxirane polymer with oxirane mono-2-propenoate and 1-	
	octanethiol	

CAS No.	Chemical name	Molecular formula
68298-78-2	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-[[[5-[[[2-	$(C_{28}H_{28}F_{17}N_3O_8S\cdot C_{27}H_{28}F_{15}N_3O_8$
	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethoxy]carbonyl]am	$S \cdot C_{26}H_{28}F_{13}N_3O_8S \cdot C_{25}H_{28}F_{11}N_3$
	ino]-2-methylphenyl]amino]carbonyl]oxy]propyl ester, telomer	$O_8S \cdot C_{24}H_{28}F_9N_3O_8S \cdot C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}N$
	with butyl 2-propenoate, 2-[[[5-[[2-[ethyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethoxy]carbonyl]amino]-	$O_4S \cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_4S \cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}N$ $O_4S \cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S \cdot C_{10}H_{10}F_9N$
	2-methylphenyl]amino]carbonyl]oxy]propyl 2-methyl-2-	$O_4S \cdot C_7H_{12}O_2)_x \cdot C_8H_{18}S$
	propenoate, 2-[[[5-[[2-	045 C/111202)x C811185
	[ethyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethoxy]carbonyl]a	
	mino]-2-methylphenyl]amino]carbonyl]oxy]propyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate, 2-[[[5-[[[2-	
	[ethyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethoxy]carbonyl]amino	
	]-2-methylphenyl]amino]carbonyl]oxy]propyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate, 2-[[[5-[[[2-[ethyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethoxy]carbonyl]amin	
	o]-2-methylphenyl]amino]carbonyl]oxy]propyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate, 2-	
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-propenoate, 2- [methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
	and 1-octanethiol	
68329-56-6	2-Propenoic acid, eicosyl ester, polymer with 2-	$(C_{23}H_{44}O_2 \cdot C_{21}H_{40}O_2 \cdot C_{19}H_{36}O_2 \cdot C_{19})$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-	$_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_{4}S\cdot C$
	propenoate, hexadecyl 2-propenoate, 2-	$_{12}H_{10}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot C$
	[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate, 2-	$_{10}H_{10}F_{9}NO_{4}S)_{x}$
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2- propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
	and octadecyl 2-propenoate	
68555-90-8	2-Propenoic acid, butyl ester, polymer with 2-	$(C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_4S$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-	$\cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot C_{10}H_{10}F_9NO_4S\cdot C_7H_{12}O_2)_x$
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2- propenoate, 2-	$C_{10}\Pi_{10}\Gamma_{9}\Pi O_{4}S \cdot C_{7}\Pi_{12}O_{2})_{x}$
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-propenoate and 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
68555-91-9	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2- [ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester, polymer	$(C_{22}H_{42}O_2 \cdot C_{16}H_{14}F_{17}NO_4S \cdot C_{15}H_1$ $_4F_{15}NO_4S \cdot C_{14}H_{14}F_{13}NO_4S \cdot C_{13}H_1$
	with 2-[ethyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	${}_{4}F_{15}NO_{4}S \cdot C_{14}H_{14}F_{13}NO_{4}S \cdot C_{13}H_{14}$ ${}_{4}F_{11}NO_{4}S \cdot C_{12}H_{14}F_{9}NO_{4}S)_{x}$
	propenoate, 2-[ethyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	41 [[1\045 C[211]41 91\045) <sub>x</sub>
	methyl-2-propenoate, 2-	
	[ethyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate and octadecyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate	
68555-92-0	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-	$(C_{22}H_{42}O_2 \cdot C_{15}H_{12}F_{17}NO_4S \cdot C_{14}H_1$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl ester,	<sub>2</sub> F <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S·C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>12</sub> F <sub>13</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S·C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>1</sub>
	polymer with 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-	$_{2}F_{11}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{11}H_{12}F_{9}NO_{4}S)_{x}$
	[methyl](pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	
	1 - J. [(p	

CAS No.	Chemical name	Molecular formula
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate and octadecyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate	
68586-14-1	2-Propenoic acid, 2-	$(C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_4S$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl ester,	$\cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot$
	telomer with 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	$C_{10}H_{10}F_9NO_4S\cdot(C_2H_4O)_nC_8H_{10}O$
	propenoate, α-(2-methyl-1-oxo-2-propenyl)-ω-hydroxypoly(oxy-	$_{3}\cdot(C_{2}H_{4}O)_{n}C_{4}H_{6}O_{2})_{x}\cdot C_{8}H_{18}S$
	1,2-ethanediyl), $\alpha$ -(2-methyl-1-oxo-2-propenyl)- $\omega$ -[(2-methyl-1-	
	oxo-2-propenyl)oxy]poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), 2-	
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
	and 1-octanethiol	
68649-26-3	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N/A
00045 20 5	heptadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-, reaction products with N-	14/21
	ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,4-nonafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-	
	butanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,7-	
	pentadecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-heptanesulfonamide, N-	
	ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,6-tridecafluoro-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-	
	1-hexanesulfonamide, N-ethyl-1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,5-undecafluoro-	
	N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-pentanesulfonamide,	
	polymethylenepolyphenylene isocyanate and stearyl alc.	
68867-62-9	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-	$(C_{16}H_{14}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_{15}H_{14}F_{15}NO_4S$
	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester, telomer	$\cdot C_{14}H_{14}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{13}H_{14}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot$
	with 2-[ethyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	$C_{12}H_{14}F_{9}NO_{4}S\cdot(C_{2}H_{4}O)_{n}C_{4}H_{6}O_{2}$
	propenoate, 2-[ethyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-	$)_{x} \cdot C_{8}H_{18}S$
	z-metnyi-z-propenoate, z- [ethyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate, 2-[ethyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	methyl-2-propenoate, 1-octanethiol and $\alpha$ -(1-oxo-2-propenyl)- $\omega$ -	
	methoxypoly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl)	
68877-32-7	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-	(C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>14</sub> F <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S·C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>14</sub> F <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S
	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester, polymer	$\cdot C_{14}H_{14}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{13}H_{14}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot$
	with 2-[ethyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	$C_{12}H_{14}F_9NO_4S\cdot C_5H_8)_x$
	propenoate, 2-[ethyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-methyl-2-propenoate, 2-	
	[ethyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-methyl-2-	
	propenoate, 2-[ethyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
(0001 07 2	methyl-2-propenoate and 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene	C. H. Cl.C. F. NO. C
68891-96-3	Chromium, diaquatetrachloro[µ-[N-ethyl-N-[(hentodecesflyerocetyl)sylfonyllalyzinete O':O"]]	$C_{18}H_{28}Cl_4Cr_2F_{17}NO_9S$
	[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]glycinato-O':O" ]]µ-hydroxybis(2-methylpropanol)di-	
68958-61-2	Poly(oxy-1,2-ethanediyl), α-[2-	$(C_2H_4O)_nC_{13}H_{12}F_{17}NO_3S$
00/30-01-2	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl]-\omega-methoxy-	$(C_2\Pi_4O)_nC_1\Pi_1\Pi_2\Pi_1\Pi_1O_3O$
70225-14-8	1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S·C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
.0225 14-0	heptadecafluoro-, compd. with 2,2-iminobis[ethanol] (1:1)	0,222 1/030 0411111102
70776-36-2	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, octadecyl ester, polymer with 1,1-	$(C_{22}H_{42}O_2 \cdot C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S \cdot C_{13}H_1$
	dichloroethene, 2-	$_{0}F_{15}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{11}H_{1}$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-	$_{0}F_{11}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{10}H_{10}F_{9}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{4}H_{7}N$
	propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl)-2-propenamide, 2-	$O_2 \cdot C_2 H_2 Cl_2)_x$
	[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate, 2-	, <del>, ,</del>

CAS No.	Chemical name	Molecular formula
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-propenoate and 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
71487-20-2	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, methyl ester, polymer with	$(C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_4S$
	ethenylbenzene, 2-	$\cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}NO_4S\cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S\cdot$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-	$C_{10}H_{10}F_{9}NO_{4}S\cdot C_{8}H_{8}\cdot C_{5}H_{8}O_{2}\cdot C_{3}$
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	$(H_4O_2)_x$
	propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-	
	propenoate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	2-propenoate, 2-	
	[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
92265-81-1	and 2-propenoic acid Ethanaminium, N,N,N-trimethyl-2-[(2-methyl-1-oxo-2-	$(C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_9H_{18}NO_2\cdot C_7H_1$
92203-01-1	propenyl)oxy]-, chloride, polymer with 2-ethoxyethyl 2-	$(C_{14}\Pi_{10}\Gamma_{17}\PiO_{4}SC_{9}\Pi_{18}\PiO_{2}C_{7}\Pi_{1})$ $_{2}O_{3}\cdot C_{7}H_{10}O_{3}\cdot CI)_{x}$
	propenoate, 2-	203 C/11(003 C1)x
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl 2-propenoate	
	and oxiranylmethyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate	
94313-84-5	Carbamic acid, [5-[[[2-	$C_{38}H_{50}F_{17}N_3O_6S$
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethoxy]carbonyl]a	
	mino]-2-methylphenyl]-, 9-octadecenyl ester, (Z)-	
98999-57-6	Sulfonamides, C <sub>7-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-methyl-N-[2-[(1-oxo-2-	$(C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}NO_4S\cdot C_9H_{18}NO_2\cdot C_7H_1$
	propenyl)oxy]ethyl], polymers with 2-ethoxyethyl acrylate,	$_{2}O_{3}\cdot C_{7}H_{10}O_{3}\cdot Cl)_{x}$
	glycidyl methacrylate and N,N,N-trimethyl-2-[(2-methyl-1-oxo-	
	propenyl)oxy]ethanaminium chloride	
178094-69-4	1-Octanesulfonamide, N-[3-(dimethyloxidoamino)propyl]-	$C_{13}H_{12}F_{17}N_2O_3S\cdot K$
BT/A	1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptadecafluoro-, potassium salt	NT/A
N/A	2-(Perfluoro-N-methyl- $C_{4-8}$ -1-alkanesulfonamido)ethyl esters of trimers of $C_{18}$ unsaturated fatty acids	N/A
29457-72-5	1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S·Li
2)431-12-3	heptadecafluoro-, lithium salt	C8III 17O35 L1
68909-15-9	2-Propenoic acid, eicosyl ester, polymers with branched octyl	$(C_{23}H_{44}O_2\cdot C_{21}H_{40}O_2\cdot C_{14}H_{10}F_{17}N$
00505 10 5	acrylate, 2-[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl	$O_4S \cdot C_{13}H_{10}F_{15}NO_4S \cdot C_{12}H_{10}F_{13}N$
	acrylate, 2-[methyl[(nonafluorobutyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	$O_4S \cdot C_{11}H_{10}F_{11}NO_4S \cdot C_{10}H_{10}F_9N$
	acrylate, 2-[methyl](pentadecafluoroheptyl)sulfonyl]amino ]ethyl	$O_4S \cdot (C_2H_4O)_nC_4H_6O_2 \cdot Unspecifi$
	acrylate, 2-[methyl[(tridecafluorohexyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	ed) <sub>x</sub>
	acrylate, 2-[methyl[(undecafluoropentyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl	
	acrylate, polyethylene glycol acrylate Me ether and stearyl	
140604 =0.4	acrylate	27/4
148684-79-1	Sulfonamides, C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-(hydroxyethyl)-N-	N/A
	methyl, reaction products with 1,6-diisocyanatohexane	
30295-51-3	homopolymer and ethylene glycol  1-Octanesulfonamide, N-[3-(dimethyloxidoamino)propyl]-	N/A
30273-31-3	1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-heptadecafluoro-	11/12
91081-99-1	Sulfonamides, C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-(hydroxyethyl)-N-	N/A
71001-77-1	methyl, reaction products with epichlorohydrin, adipates (esters)	17/11
N/A	Fatty acids, C <sub>18</sub> -unsatd., dimers, 2-[methyl[(perfluoro-C <sub>4-8</sub> -	N/A
	alkyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl esters	
68081-83-4	Carbamic acid, (4-methyl-1,3-phenylene)bis-, bis[2-	
	[ethyl[(perfluoro-C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl] ester	
68608-14-0	Sulfonamides, C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-ethyl-N-(hydroxyethyl),	
	reaction products with 1,1'-methylenebis[4-isocyanatobenzene]	

CAS No.	Chemical name	Molecular formula
307-35-7	1-Octanesulfonyl fluoride, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	N/A
	heptadecafluoro-	
376-14-7	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-	N/A
	[ethyl[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl ester	
14650-24-9	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-	N/A
	[[(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]methylamino]ethyl ester	
94133-90-1	1-Propanesulfonic acid, 3-[[3-	N/A
	(dimethylamino)propyl][(heptadecafluorooctyl)sulfonyl]amino]-	
	2-hydroxy-, monosodium salt	
127133-66-8	2-Propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymers with Bu methacrylate,	N/A
	lauryl methacrylate and 2-[methyl[(perfluoro-C <sub>4-8</sub> -	
	alkyl)sulfonyl]amino]ethyl methacrylate	
179005-06-2	Sulfonamides, C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-[3-	N/A
	(dimethyloxidoamino)propyl], potassium salts	
179005-07-3	Sulfonamides, C <sub>4-8</sub> -alkane, perfluoro, N-[3-	N/A
	(dimethyloxidoamino)propyl]	
ROF	Residual Organic Fluorochemicals (impurities)	N/A
1763-23-1	1-Octanesulfonic acid, 1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8-	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S
	heptadecafluoro-	

N/A = not available; Me = methyl; Bu = butyl